

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Deadline 10 SZC DCO Summary
Date: 12 October 2021 23:53:02

Dear ExA,
IP No. 20026173

The Applicant's proposed SZC project has given me a constant source of frustration and anxiety for many years. Promoted by EDF since the first consultation in November 2012 as a source of low carbon electricity to help the UK achieve net zero, if the Secretary of State was to grant approval of the SZC DCO it will have taken at least 23 years for SZC to potentially generate any electricity. All this wasted time and money should and could have been directed at solutions which will help with the climate crisis.

As the examination closes, the SZC project still: has no funding and is only likely to have if the UK government is minded to inflict a nuclear tax on UK residents; cannot demonstrate the site can be protected for its full lifetime as the Applicant's FRA only goes up to 2140 and radioactive waste will still be stored on site until late 2190, or maybe indefinitely as the UK is still no closer to identifying a site for a potential GDF; raises concerns around the viability of the EPR reactor design proposed for the site as neither Olkiluoto or Flamanville with construction start dates of 2005/2007 are operational and one of CGNs EPR reactors in Taishan is currently offline because of safety concerns; has no potable water for SZC 60 year operation and decommissioning phase and despite having a 'water strategy' will only have potable water for construction if an environmentally damaging desalination plant is allowed to be sited in Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB; has not demonstrated that its transport strategy is deliverable and even if it is deliverable comes at further degradation of the AONB; causes irreversible damage to Sizewell Marshes SSSI, impacts RSPB Minsmere and many national and international designated sites; unable to demonstrate that the cooling water system will not result in the death of hundreds of millions of fish each year; has a so called program of mitigation of new roads, roundabouts, rail, which just moves the problems on to someone else's doorstep and spreads further environmental damage throughout East Suffolk; raises many questions regarding the competence of the Applicant more so as they have no desire to invest any of their own funds into their proposed project.

For all the above reasons and many more, I urge the ExA to protect Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty for its wildlife, residents, visitors and future generations, and recommend refusal of the Applicant's DCO application.

Yours faithfully
Jennifer Wilson

[REDACTED]